Case 5:11-cr-00683-BLF Document 98 Filed 06/24/21 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America,) Case No
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Defendant(s).))
Trial Act from to to to continuance outweigh the best interest of the publ	on, the court excludes time under the Speedy and finds that the ends of justice served by the lic and the defendant in a speedy trial. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ald be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to ex	ex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of expression, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial shed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ald deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ald unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mmitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
<u> </u>	ald unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the c paragraph and — based on the part the time limits for a preliminary he extending the 30-day time period f	and taking into account the public interest in the prompt ourt sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first ties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending earing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the ed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED:	·
	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	A 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney